

[HIT & RUN BLOG](#)[Don't Fund California Single-Payer Health Care With a Gross Receipts Tax](#)

The sales tax' big brother tends to cripple growth, lower wages, and promote inequality, economists warn. Will that stop California from doing it?

[Eric Boehm](#) | Jun. 5, 2017 3:42 pm



Ingram Publishing/Newscom California's single-payer

health care proposal would eliminate premiums, deductibles, and co-pays for residents of the state, but [would require massive tax increases](#)—including the creation of a [new, more complex version of a sales tax](#) that would drive up the cost of living or doing business there.

There's [still no fully formed plan to finance the California single-payer proposal](#), which cleared the state Senate with a 23-14 vote on Thursday and is headed to the state House. Implementing the so-called Healthy California Act, or HCA, [would likely cost the state as much as \\$400 billion annually](#) (that's more than California currently spends on its entire state government), and [would require at least \\$200 billion in new revenue](#), if not more.

The bill is silent about how the plan would be funded, but an [analysis published last month by the state Senate Appropriations Committee](#) envisioned a 15 percent payroll tax increase to generate the necessary revenue. [A new analysis released last week by researchers at the University of Massachusetts-Amherst](#), suggests that California pay for the single-payer health care plan with a new gross receipts tax of 2.3 percent, along with a 2.3 percent increase the state's sales tax (currently 7.25 percent), "along with exemptions and tax credits for small business owners and low-income families to promote tax-burden equity."

[Those two tax hikes would generate an estimated \\$106 billion annually](#)—[far short of the \\$330 billion price tag](#) attached to the HCA by the Amherst economists, so other tax hikes would also be required. [Cost now is \\$370 billion](#)

Still, the idea of funding a single-payer health care system with a new gross receipts tax should be a point against the creation of such a system, not one in its favor—no matter how much revenue the tax might produce.

Unlike regular sales taxes, which are imposed only at the final point of sale when a consumer purchases a product from a [retailer, gross receipts taxes are applied at each and every transaction along a supply chain](#). In practical terms, you pay a sales tax when you purchase a widget from a store, and that's the only tax paid on the sale of that widget. Under a gross receipts tax, the widget-maker would pay 2.3 percent on the cost of the raw materials

[PRINT](#) 

**RELATED**

[\*\*Report: California's \\$15 Minimum Wage Will Destroy 400,000 Jobs\*\*](#)

[Scott Shackford](#) | 12.18.17

[\*\*States Partner to Sue Massachusetts, California Over Costly Livestock Bans\*\*](#)

[Baylen Linnekin](#) | 12.16.17

[\*\*L.A. Times Obtains Secret List of Bad Cops\*\*](#)

[Ed Krayewski](#) | 12.08.17

[California](#)

[Health Care Reform](#)

[Health Care](#)

[Taxes](#)

VIEW COMMENTS (107) | [LEAVE A COMMENT](#)

You must have an account and be logged in to comment.

Click [here](#) to register, or [here](#) to login if you already have an account



[\*\*Theresa May's Call for...\*\*](#)

[Scott Shackford](#) | 06.05.2017

[\*\*HIT & RUN HOME\*\*](#)

[\*\*EpiPen Not Overpriced, De...\*\*](#)

[Reason Staff](#) | 06.05.2017



[VIEW HIT & RUN ARCHIVES](#) 